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The term “consumer confidence,” regularly appearing in our daily lexicon, the press reports on the ups and downs of consumer confidence indexes as indicative of economic prospects. Politicians refer to consumer confidence as something that legislation needs to help restore. Economists use it to forecast the national economy. But what does it mean? Does confidence reflect our expectations about future earnings? Does it reflect inflation expectations? Does lower confidence mean buy now before prices increase? Or is it independent of all of these and best described as “animal spirits,” as coined by Keynes (1965)?

Do we as consumers need both income and confidence to be able and willing to make purchases? These are questions that economists are still trying to sort out.

Despite the ubiquitous presence of the word confidence in the public discourse, it is not understood how this collective consumer “attitude” is related to key economic indicators, such as stock market prices and inflation. The primary issue is whether the consumer confidence indexes contain additional information than what is found in other economic indices. There is no agreement. Since consumption behavior is a key aspect of macroeconomic modeling, it is crucial to understand whether consumer confidence is in any way useful in stimulating economic behavior.

A standard reference for understanding the role of sentiment in predicting spending is Carroll, Fuhrer, and Wilcox (1994), who conclude that sentiment contains information valuable for future consumption growth not captured by changes in income. (See also Ludvigson [2004] for a survey of literature on consumer confidence indexes.) More recently, Barsky and Sims (2009) study the dynamic path of income and expenditure following a shock to consumer confidence. The path of consumption following a shock to sentiment can point to either an animal spirits interpretation or an information view. The animal spirits view implies that following a sudden drop in consumer confidence, there will be an initial decrease of expenditure followed by decay back to trend. Conversely, the information view suggests a gradual reaction of output and spending. Barsky and Sims find support for the information view in their analysis. This finding is at odds with previous research findings concerned with the economic information...
Most of the economic literature concerned with sentiment uses aggregate data. One exception is Souleles (2004), who matches individual-level microdata from the survey underlying the Michigan Index of Consumer Sentiment with data from the Consumer Expenditure Survey. Souleles’s findings suggest that indexes of consumer confidence could be an aggregator of idiosyncratic information about economic prospects, which is observable to the consumer, but not otherwise to the researcher studying the data.

Data

Similar to previous work, my research tries to understand whether consumer confidence contains information relevant for spending but is independent of other measures, such as stock market prices, gas prices, or daily news reports. My approach to understanding consumer confidence differs from previous work in several ways, however. First, I use data with a much higher frequency than earlier work, which allows me to surpass the “chicken or the egg” causality problem. As updates about the state of the economy arrive at a slower pace than the variations in daily sentiment and spending, I can conclude that news reports may, in the short run, affect spending and confidence, but not vice versa. This allows me to isolate the causal effect of sentiment on spending.

Second, similar to Souleles (2004), I use individual-level microdata. Uniquely, these data collect information on consumer confidence and spending for the same individual, whereas previous research has resorted to imputing sentiment. Third, the information in the microdata can be used to contrast the reactions of different types of consumers.

The data on confidence and spending, called G1K, come from a survey conducted by the Gallup Organization; they are collected daily via telephone interviews with a random sample of about 1,000 individuals aged 18 and older and living in the United States. The G1K data include a variety of demographic measures, a set of questions on health, and also evaluations of living and working conditions. A unique feature of the survey is that it collects high frequency information on daily expenditure. The expenditure question reads as follows: “Next, we’d like you to think about your spending yesterday, not counting the purchase of a home, motor vehicle, or your normal household bills. How much money did you spend or charge yesterday on all other types of...
purchases you may have made, such as at a store, restaurant, gas station, online, or elsewhere?” My sample collects this information on about 359,000 individuals living in the United States surveyed across 355 days, from January 2008 to January 2009.

The confidence measure is collected among the same subsample of the survey as the data on expenditure. The respondent is asked to evaluate the economic conditions in the United States. The question reads as follows: “Right now, do you think that economic conditions in this country, as a whole, are getting better or getting worse?” The answers are measured as getting worse, the same, or getting better. The G1K is the only data set that collects information on consumer confidence and spending for the same individuals. This feature is particularly attractive since the spending behavior and consumer confidence can be aggregated to a time series from the disparate information contained in the microdata, as opposed to pooling information from two separate, aggregate data sets.

In order to contrast the informational content in the sentiment index to information about economic prospects, I turn to external data. Stock market indices contain gyrations, and as they are collected at a high frequency, it is natural to consider whether the information contained in the stock prices reflects the swings in confidence. Previous research has highlighted the importance of stock prices as predictors of future productivity (see Beaudry and Portier [2006]). Presumably, if the stock market tells us something about the state of the economy, it ought to be relevant to consumers when they adjust their spending behavior.

Figure 1 plots the time series of consumer confidence and the logarithm of the daily series of close prices of the Standard & Poor’s 500 index. It suggests that confidence and the stock market prices tend to share a common trend. This raises the question of whether the information contained in the index of consumer confidence contains an independent component that is predictive for spending.

If the fluctuations of stock prices carry information about economic prospects, spending should adjust to incorporate this update. If sentiment reflects information about future prospects, the reaction of spending following a shock to sentiment should be modest once the information available to the stock market is controlled for. However, if the dynamic path of spending remains unchanged, it is an indication that sentiment reflects some other relevant but independent information, divorced from news about the future behavior of the economy. Hence, the reaction of spending is indicative of the informational content confidence. To study this, I examine empirically the daily series of stock prices.
market prices and the daily time series of consumer spending and confidence from the G1K.3

Results

Consider first the simple bivariate dynamics of expenditure and confidence. Figure 2 shows the reaction of spending following an unexpected change in confidence—a “shock.” It appears that spending reacts to changes in consumer confidence. This shock results in a displacement of spending that lasts for about 30 days, which is consistent with consumers acting upon a precautionary saving motive—if an increase in confidence correlates with an increased level of uncertainty (e.g., a higher variance in a consumer’s income process), the prudent consumer will react by accumulating a buffer stock (see Carroll, Fuhrer, and Wilcox [1994]).

Next consider the empirical analysis when information on stock prices is included. Assuming that the stock prices are reflective of all the information available on the market about the state of the economy, once the stock prices are controlled for, confidence should not forecast spending if the consumer confidence index is another manifestation of the same information.

Figure 3a shows that spending reacts strongly and positively to a shock to stock market prices. Figure 3b shows that following a shock to sentiment, spending takes on a very similar pattern to the reaction found in Figure 2.

This suggests that information contained in consumer sentiment is not fully reflective of information on the stock market, as spending still reacts following an unexpected change in sentiment. Extending the conditioning information set of my analysis further to other survey measures originating from the G1K, I find that sentiment is also not a reflection of concerns about employment stability, which casts some doubt on the explanation of confidence as an aggregator of idiosyncratic information.

Does consumer confidence then reflect animal spirits? The principle of parsimony implies that for the animal spirits interpretation to hold, well-established models of economic behavior would need to be rewritten to support a theory where consumers decide to go shopping because they feel confident on a particular day. The results are best interpreted as indicating that confidence plausibly aggregates disparate information available to consumers, but not observable by the econometrician, and hence matters for forecasting changes in spending. This issue could be best explored further with a richer set of conditioning variables and a longitudinal component in the underlying microdata.

Notes

This article contains statements not referenced due to space constraints. For a complete bibliography, see Lachowska (2011).

1. The severity of the recent recession has highlighted the ongoing need to understand the underlying causes of macroeconomic distress. A large literature on real business cycles attributes recessions to fluctuations in technology. An older view, associated primarily with Keynes (1965), ascribes the variations in economic activity to drops in consumer demand. In recent years we have witnessed resurgence in interest in bridging the divide between the latter view and the real business cycle approach, for example, in the literature on news-driven business cycles. The turbulence of the last recession has also sparked a renewed interest in nonstandard explanations of consumer behavior; see, for example, Akerlof and Shiller (2009) and Farmer (2010).

2. Since the U.S. stock market data are only available on weekdays, in order to merge this information I exclude the data on spending and confidence that was collected on the weekends. The sample used for estimation in Figures 2 and 3a–b consists of 238 observations occurring on weekdays.
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