# The Effect of International Migration on Educated Unemployment

Oded Start
University of Klagenfurt

C. Simon Fan
Lingnan University

3

The Effect of International Migration on Educated Unemployment

Oded Stark

Universities of Klagenfurt, Bonn, and Vienna;
Warsaw University;
ESCE Economic and Social Research Center, Cologne and Eisenstadt

C. Simon Fan
Lingnan University

There are two salient features of many writings on human capital in developing countries. First, a fraction of the educated workforce migrates to developed countries. Since educated workers are one of the scarcest resources in developing countries, it has been argued that the migration of educated workers is a "brain drain" for the developing countries.[1] Second, in a number of developing countries, a large fraction of the educated workforce is unemployed. For example, in their influential development economics textbook, *Economics of Development*, Gillis et al. (1996) allude to the Sri Lankan experience as a striking example, noting that half of the country's new university graduates were unemployed in the 1970s.[2] The phenomenon of educated unemployment in those developing countries contrasts sharply with the pattern of unemployment in developed countries. In the latter, the unemployment rate and educational attainment are strongly negatively correlated (Ashenfelter and Ham 1979).

However, while there has been extensive research on the brain drain,[3] the issue of "educated unemployment" has attracted little attention in the economics literature, despite references to its importance in development economics textbooks. A notable exception is an article by Bhagwati and Hamada (1974). In a fixed-wage framework, Bhagwati

and Hamada argue that a high foreign wage can increase the fixed wage rate of the educated in the home country by affecting people's psychology and that, in turn, the higher fixed wage increases unemployment.[4] However, since educated unemployment is not a serious problem in all of the developing countries, Bhagwati and Hamada could not explain why a high foreign wage affects the psychology of people in some countries but not in others.

This chapter provides an alternative model of educated unemployment. In the model developed here, educated unemployment is caused by the prospect of international migration, that is, by the possibility of a brain drain. In a simple job-search framework we show that an individual's reservation wage in the labor market of the home country increases with the probability of working abroad. Consequently, workers who fail to line up employment abroad are less likely to immediately immerse themselves in work in their home country. Instead, they enter unemployment in order to engage in a repeated attempt to secure foreign employment. Thus, we provide a new explanation for the phenomenon of educated unemployment observed in developing countries. Our theoretical analysis provides a basis and a rationale for rigorous empirical tests of this important phenomenon—tests that, to the best of our knowledge, are absent in the received literature. Moreover, our main argument that international migration and educated unemployment are closely linked seems to be consistent with considerable anecdotal evidence and policy-related research.[5]

We integrate the educated unemployment–international migration perspective with the recent literature on the "beneficial brain drain,"[6] which contends that compared to a closed economy, an economy open to migration differs not only in the opportunities that workers face but also in the structure of the incentives that they confront: higher prospective returns to human capital in a foreign country impinge favorably on human capital formation decisions at home. The analysis contained in this chapter shows that a developing country may end up with more educated workers despite the brain drain and educated unemployment. In other words, the average level of human capital in the country may well be higher under migration than in the absence of migration. This higher level can play a positive role in determining long-run future output growth, the present-day gloom of educated unemployment notwithstanding.

The next two sections of this chapter, "Migration and educated unemployment" and "The choice of acquiring higher education," set up the basic analytical framework and present a model of educated unemployment. The fourth section presents an analysis demonstrating that the prospect of international migration can lead to a "brain gain" despite brain drain and the possibility of being unemployed after acquiring a higher level of education. The final section offers conclusions and complementary reflections.

MIGRATION AND EDUCATED UNEMPLOYMENT

Consider a world that consists of two countries: home, H, and foreign, F. Country H is developing and is poorer than country F, which is developed. Because of a policy of selective migration by F, only educated individuals (say, university graduates) of H have a chance of working in, hence migrating to, F.

In this section we analyze the behavior of the home country's educated individuals. In the next section we incorporate into the model the cost of education and we analyze the decision to acquire education.

For our purposes, we assume that everyone in H is educated. The decision-making process of an educated individual is illustrated by Figure 3.1. According to this model, an educated individual makes decisions in (at most) three stages:[7]

The first stage. When an individual graduates from a university in H, the individual participates in a lottery draw that results in probable work in F. If the individual obtains a winning ticket, his income will be w^f. The probability of being selected to work in F is p.

The second stage. (Note that there is no second stage for individuals who win the draw.) An individual who graduates and fails to secure work in F faces the following choices: to work or to wait for another draw. Waiting for another draw frees up time to search for a job in F. Alternatively, if the individual were to work, little time (and energy) would be available for preparing applications and, in addition, the indi-

Figure 3.1 Stages in the Decision-Making Process of an Educated Individual

vidual's academic qualifications could depreciate, thereby lowering the probability of being picked up for work in F.[8]

The assumption that individuals choose unemployment while waiting for another draw of going abroad is particularly consistent with the job-search theory. In fact, the assumption that the probability of finding a (new) job is higher when an individual does not hold a job, but instead concentrates on searching for a job, is at the heart of the literature on job search and the natural rate of unemployment (Mortensen 1986; Acemoglu and Shimer 1999; Rogerson, Shimer, and Wright 2005). The rationale underlying this assumption is that searching for a job requires time and effort. The received job-search theory refers to domestic markets. It is reasonable to assume that finding a job in a foreign labor market requires even more time and effort.[9]

For simplicity's sake, we assume that if the individual works, he cannot participate in any additional draw, so his probability of ending up working in F is zero. If the individual does not work and awaits another draw, his chances of going abroad are p'.

The third stage. (Note that the third stage only applies to those who waited for another draw in the second stage.) If an individual wins this draw, he will go abroad. Otherwise, he will work at home, receiving the home country's mean wage rate.

The job offers in the second and third stage follow an independently identical distribution. The cumulative distribution function of the wage offer, $\tilde{w}$, is $F(w)$. We assume that $F(w)$ is differentiable. We also assume that

$$\tilde{w} \in [w^l, w^h]$$

and that the density function,

$$\frac{dF(w)}{dw} \equiv F'(w),$$

is strictly positive in its domain, that is,

$$F'(w) > 0 \quad \forall w \in [w^l, w^h].$$

The expected income of the (risk-neutral) individuals in the third stage is

(3.1) $(1 - p')\bar{w} + p'w^f$,

where $\bar{w}$ is the mean wage in H, namely

$\bar{w} = \int_{w^l}^{w^h} w dF(w)$.

In the second stage, if the individual receives a wage offer w at H, he will accept it if and only if

(3.2) $w > \dfrac{1}{1+r}[(1 - p')\bar{w} + p'w^f]$,

where r is the individual's discount rate.
We define

(3.3) $w^c \equiv \dfrac{1}{1+r}[(1 - p')\bar{w} + p'w^f]$.

In this case, the individual will accept the wage offer at H if and only if $w > w^c$. Thus, w^c is the individual's reservation wage at H.
Further simplifying, we assume that

(3.4) $w^l \geq \dfrac{1}{1+r}\bar{w}$;

educated unemployment will not exist in the absence of an additional possibility of migration (that is, when $p' = 0$).[10]
Then, the fraction of the educated who are unemployed[11] is

(3.5) $u \equiv P(\tilde{w} \leq w^c) = F(w^c)$.

Clearly,

(3.6) $\dfrac{du}{dp'} = \dfrac{du}{dw^c}\dfrac{dw^c}{dp'}$

$= F'\dfrac{w^f - \bar{w}}{1+r}$.

Note that the assumption that F is developed and H is developing naturally implies that $w^f > \bar{w}$. Since $F' > 0$,

(3.7) $\dfrac{du}{dp'} > 0$.

In addition, we note that

$$w^c \equiv \frac{1}{1+r} [\bar{w} + p' (w^f - \bar{w})]$$

and that

(3.8) $\dfrac{du}{d(w^f - \bar{w})} = F' \dfrac{p'}{1+r} > 0$.

In summary, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 1: *1) The unemployment rate of university graduates in a developing country will increase as the probability of migration rises. 2) The unemployment rate of university graduates in a developing country will increase as the wage gap between the developed country and the developing country increases.*

Proposition 1 implies that in a developing country, educated unemployment is caused by the prospect of international migration, that is, by the possibility of a brain drain. The greater the probability of being selected for work in the foreign country and the greater the wage gap between the foreign country and the developing country, the more serious the educated unemployment problem. The intuition underlying the proposition is straightforward. From Equation (3.3) we can see that w^c increases with p' and with w^f, and that it decreases with $\bar{w}$, which means that the individual's reservation wage in the home labor market increases with the probability of working abroad and with the international wage gap. Consequently, the unemployment rate will increase as the reservation wage rises.

Moreover, we have assumed for the sake of simplicity that only educated individuals (say, university graduates) of the home country have a chance of working in, hence migrating to, the foreign country. If we modify this assumption slightly, so that a better-educated individual in a developing country faces a higher probability of working abroad, then by similar logic to Proposition 1, we will obtain the result that the unemployment rate is higher for individuals with higher education.

THE CHOICE OF ACQUIRING HIGHER EDUCATION

The benefit that education without migration confers is simply H's mean wage rate of educated workers, $\bar{w}$. When migration is a possibility, the expected payoff from the three stages described in the preceding section is

$$(3.9) \quad V \equiv pw^f + (1+p)\left\{ \int_{w^c}^{w^h} wdF(w) + F(w^c)\left[\frac{p'w^f + (1-p')\bar{w}}{1+r} \right] \right\}$$

$$= pw^f + (1-p)\left[\int_{w^c}^{w^h} wF'(w)dw + F(w^c)w^c \right].$$

Clearly,

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{dV}{dw^f} = p + (1-p)\left[-F'(w^c)w^c + F'(w^c)w^c + F(w^c) \right]\frac{dw^c}{dw^f}$$

$$= p + (1-p)F(w^c)\frac{p'}{1+r} > 0 .$$

Let us assume that

$$(3.11) \quad p' = p(1+\alpha) ,$$

where α is a fixed parameter. To ensure that $0 < p' < 1$, we assume that

$$-1 < \alpha < \frac{1}{p} - 1 .$$

Then,

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dV}{dp} &= w^f - \left[\int_{w^c}^{w^h} wdF(w) + F(w^c)w^c \right] \\ &\quad + (1-p)\left[-F'(w^c)w^c + F'(w^c)w^c + F(w^c) \right]\frac{(w^f - \bar{w})(1+\alpha)}{1+r} \\ &= w^f - \left[\int_{w^c}^{w^h} wdF(w) + F(w^c)w^c \right] + (1-p)F(w^c)\frac{(w^f - \bar{w})(1+\alpha)}{1+r} . \end{aligned}$$

We further assume that

$$(3.13) \quad w^f > w^h .$$

To rule out the unreasonable possibility that all the educated are unemployed, we assume that

(3.14) $w^c < w^h$.

It follows that

$$\int_{w^c}^{w^h} w\,dF(w) + F(w^c)w^c$$

$$\leq \int_{w^c}^{w^h} w^h\,dF(w) + F(w^c)w^h$$

$$= w^h\int_{w^c}^{w^h} dF(w) + F(w^c)w^h$$

$$= w^h[F(w^h) - F(w^c)] + F(w^c)w^h$$

$$= w^h .$$

Therefore,

(3.15) $w^f > \left[\int_{w^c}^{w^h} w\,dF(w) + F(w^c)w^c\right]$,

and it then follows from Equation (3.12) that

(3.16) $\dfrac{dV}{dp} > 0$,

that is, the benefit of acquiring a university education in H increases as the probability of migration rises.

We next incorporate the cost of acquiring education. Our idea is that individuals differ in their abilities and familial background, hence in their cost of acquiring education. We normalize the size of the (pre-migration) population of H to be Lebesgue measure 1. Suppose that an individual's cost of obtaining education, c, follows the uniform distribution $\tilde{c} \in [0,\Omega]$.

We assume that the (lifetime) income of an uneducated individual is constant, and we denote it by Φ. Then, recalling the assumption that only individuals with university degrees have any chance of migrating, we see that an individual will choose to acquire a university education if and only if

(3.17) $V - c \geq \Phi$.

Let us define

(3.18) $c* = V - \Phi$.

It follows that an individual will obtain a university education if and only if his cost of education maintains

$c \leq c*$.

Since $\tilde{c}$ follows a uniform distribution and the population size of the economy is of Lebesgue measure 1, both the proportion and the number of educated individuals are given by

(3.19) $\dfrac{c*}{\Omega}$.

From Equation (3.18) we get

(3.20) $\dfrac{d(c*/\Omega)}{dp} = \dfrac{1}{\Omega}\dfrac{dV}{dp} > 0$,

where the inequality sign in Equation (3.20) follows from Equation (3.16). We thus have the following proposition:

Proposition 2: *The number of individuals undertaking university education will increase as the probability of migration rises.*
This proposition implies that while the prospect of migration causes the unemployment rate of educated individuals in the home country to increase (Equation [3.7]), it also induces more individuals to acquire education (Equation [3.20]). The end result may be an increase in the number of unemployed university graduates. Thus, Propositions 1 and 2 provide an explanation for the phenomenon of educated unemployment by linking it to migration.

A BRAIN DRAIN VERSUS A BRAIN GAIN

In this section, akin to Stark, Helmenstein, and Prskawetz (1997, 1998), we seek to examine whether the prospect of migration can result in a larger number of educated individuals in the home country. Since in our model only educated individuals have a positive probability of migration, it follows that if the prospect of migration results in a larger number of educated individuals in the home country, then it will *a fortiori* result in a higher fraction of educated individuals in the home country.

The following proposition shows that the brain gain caused by the prospect of migration may be larger than the loss from the brain drain.

Proposition 3: *There exists a positive level of* p *at which the number of university graduates remaining in the developing country is higher than the number of university graduates in the developing country when* $p = 0$*, for any given* α*, if* $w^f > (3 + \alpha)\overline{w}$*.*

Proof: We first note that c^* is a function of V and hence of p, so we define it as

$$(3.21) \quad c^* \equiv c(p) .$$

Then, under the migration prospect, the number of university graduates remaining in the developing country is

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{c(p)}{\Omega} - \left[p\frac{c(p)}{\Omega} + (1 - p)\,p'\frac{c(p)}{\Omega}F(w^c) \right]$$

$$= c(p)\big[(1 - p)(1 - p(1 + \alpha)F(w^c))\big] / \Omega .$$

Let us define

$$\frac{K(p)}{\Omega} \equiv \frac{c(p)(1 - p)[1 - p(1 + \alpha)F(w^c)]}{\Omega} - \frac{c(0)}{\Omega} ,$$

so that $\dfrac{K(p)}{\Omega}$ is the difference between the number of educated individu-

als in the home country when $p > 0$, and the number of educated indi-
viduals in the home country when $p = 0$.

Since

$$K(p) \equiv c(p)(1 - p)[1 - p(1 + \alpha)F(w^c)] - c(0) ,$$

we know that

$$K(0) = 0$$

and that

$$K'(p) = c'(p)(1 - p) \left[1 - p(1 + \alpha)F(w^c)\right]$$

$$- \left\{1 - p(1 + \alpha)F(w^c) + (1 - p)(1 + \alpha)\left[F(w^c) + pF'(w^c) \frac{(w^f - \overline{w})(1 + \alpha)}{1 + r}\right]\right\} c(p).$$

We seek to show that $K'(0) > 0$ which, by the continuity of $K(p)$,
would imply that $K(p) > K(0)$ in the small (positive) neighborhood of
$p = 0$. Note that

$$K'(0) = c'(0) - [1 + (1 + \alpha)F(w^c)]c(0) .$$

When $p = 0$, we know from the assumptions in Equations (3.4) and
(3.11) that educated unemployment will not exist in the absence of an
additional possibility of migration, which implies that $w^c = w^l$. Then,
from the last line of Equation (3.12) and from the consideration that
$F(w^l) = 0$, we get

$$\frac{dV}{dp} \bigg|_{p=0} = w^f - \left[\int_{w^c}^{w^h} w dF(w) + F(w^c)w^c\right] + (1 - p)F(w^c)\frac{(w^f - \overline{w})(1 + \alpha)}{1 + r}$$

$$= w^f - \left[\int_{w^l}^{w^h} w dF(w) + F(w^l)w^l\right] + (1 - p)F(w^l)\frac{(w^f - \overline{w})(1 + \alpha)}{1 + r}$$

(3.23) $$= w^f - \overline{w} .$$

Also, from the equality in Equation (3.20), we know that

$$\frac{dc^*}{dp} = \frac{dc(p)}{dp} = \frac{dV}{dp} .$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{dc(p)}{dp}\bigg|_{p=0} = c'(0) = \frac{dV}{dp}\bigg|_{p=0} = w^f - \overline{w} .$$

When $p = 0$, $V = \overline{w}$. Hence, from (3.18) and the definition $c^* = c(p)$, we get

(3.24) $c(0) = V - \Phi$
$$= \overline{w} - \Phi .$$

Thus, $K'(0) > 0$ if and only if

(3.25) $w^f - \overline{w} -[1 + (1 + \alpha)F(w^c)](\overline{w} - \Phi) > 0$.

Since

$$1 + (1 + \alpha)F(w^c) < 2 + \alpha ,$$

Equation (3.25) will be satisfied if

$$w^f - \overline{w} - (2 + \alpha)(\overline{w} - \Phi) > 0 ,$$

that is, if

(3.26) $w^f > (3 + \alpha)\overline{w} - (2 + \alpha)\Phi$.

And since $\Phi > 0$, it follows that when $w^f > (3 + \alpha)\overline{w}$, Equation (3.26) will be satisfied, in which case we will have the result that

$$K'(0) > 0.$$

Hence, by the continuity of $K(p)$, it must be that $K(p) > K(0)$ in the small (positive) neighborhood of $p = 0$. ■

Proposition 3 shows that a developing country may end up with more university graduates despite the brain drain of university graduates. If we consider that there is a reduction of the population in the wake of migration, the proposition also implies that the developing

country may end up with a higher fraction of educated individuals, despite the brain drain of university graduates.

Combining Propositions 1 and 3 yields the following corollary:

Corollary 1: *A positive level of educated unemployment in a developing country coexists with a larger number of university graduates in the country than the number of university graduates in the country under no educated unemployment if* $w^f > (3 + \alpha)\overline{w}$.

Since there are fewer individuals in the country under feasible migration, and since there are more educated individuals in the country under feasible migration, it must follow that the average level of human capital in the country is higher under migration than in the absence of migration. This higher level can play a critical role in determining long-run output growth, an issue to which we will turn in a future work.

CONCLUSION

Since the late 1960s, the development economics literature has pointed to a stark connection between migration and unemployment: workers change their location, but not their productive attributes, in response to an expected wage at destination that is higher than their wage at origin, only to end up unemployed (Todaro 1969). We propose a different connection between migration and unemployment wherein workers move into unemployment at origin in response to an expected wage at destination, and workers improve their productive attributes. While the flight of human capital and the unemployment of human capital occupied the center stage of development economics at about the same time (the 1970s), analysts and policymakers did not make a causal connection between the two phenomena except for noting that unemployment induced a desire to migrate. Our analysis considers a link: in a simple job-search framework, we show that an individual's reservation wage in the home labor market increases with the probability of working abroad. Thus, our model implies that such unemployment would be smaller in the absence of the migration possibility. Furthermore, we integrate our model into the recent literature of beneficial brain drain. The analysis shows that a developing country may end up with more

educated individuals despite the brain drain and educated unemployment. Our theoretical analysis provides a basis and a rationale for rigorous empirical tests of the link between international migration and educated unemployment, which are absent in the received literature. Such empirical endeavors will constitute an interesting topic for future research.

Notes

1. For a systematic review of this argument see Bhagwati and Wilson (1989).
2. Also, Mathew (1997) reports that in urban Kerala, India, in 1983, the unemployment rate of university graduates was 11.34 percent for males and 25.69 percent for females, which is much higher than the unemployment rate of those who had no education (3.52 percent for males and 1.52 percent for females) and than the unemployment rate of those who had up to primary education (6.73 percent for males and 8.43 percent for females). More recently, Bourdarbat (2004) shows that in 2000, the unemployment rate of university graduates in Morocco was about four times that of individuals who had acquired less than six years of schooling.
3. The topic of the brain drain is also regularly taken up in the informed press (see the short overview in Stark [2004]).
4. For example, Bhagwati and Hamada (1974, p. 20) state, "The presence of international income-inequality implies that, for the educated elite which is better informed about the developed world, and more integrated therewith regarding the notions of a 'good life' and related values, the salary levels demanded and fixed by the elite groups tend to reflect the salary levels of comparable groups in the more developed countries."
5. For example, see King (1987) and Tullao (1982).
6. For example, see Stark, Helmenstein, and Prskawetz (1997, 1998); Mountford (1997); and Stark and Wang (2002).
7. We assume that relative to the duration of the individual's working life, the duration of the three stages is short.
8. Schaafsma and Sweetman (2001) show that "working experience in the source country yields virtually no return in the host country."
9. Information on the employment status of migrants at home in developing countries prior to migration is scanty. Rudimentary studies suggest that on several occasions, nearly half of the migrants from India were unemployed prior to migration (Srivastava and Sasikumar 2003). Additional empirical work on the em-

ployment status of individuals prior to their international migration would be of considerable interest.

10. Although this assumption is not necessary, resorting to it highlights the notion that educated unemployment is caused by the prospect of migration.

11. Note that in the current model, to facilitate our concentrating on essentials, unemployment applies only to stage 2 of the individuals' decision-making processes.

References

Acemoglu, Daron, and Robert Shimer. 1999. "Efficient Unemployment Insurance." *Journal of Political Economy* 107(5): 893–928.

Ashenfelter, Orley, and John Ham. 1979. "Education, Unemployment, and Earnings." *Journal of Political Economy* 87(5): S99–S116.

Bhagwati, Jagdish N., and Koichi Hamada. 1974. "The Brain Drain, International Integration of Markets for Professionals and Unemployment: A Theoretical Analysis." *Journal of Development Economics* 1(1): 19–42.

Bhagwati, Jagdish, and John D. Wilson. 1989. *Income Taxation and International Mobility*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bourdarbat, Brahim. 2004. "Employment Sector Choice in a Developing Labour Market." Photocopy. University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC.

Gillis, Malcolm, Dwight H. Perkins, Michael Roemer, and Donald R. Snodgrass. 1996. *Economics of Development*. 4th ed. New York: W.W. Norton.

King, A.M. 1987. "Philippines." In *Migration of Talent: Causes and Consequences of Brain Drain: Three Studies from Asia*, Yogesh Atal and Luca Dall'Oglio, eds. Bangkok, Thailand: UNESCO Principal Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, pp. 15–117.

Mathew, Elangikal Thomas. 1997. *Employment and Unemployment in Kerala: Some Neglected Aspects*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Mortensen, Dale T. 1986. "Job Search and Labor Market Analysis." In *Handbook of Labor Economics*, Orley Ashenfelter and Richard Layard, eds. Amsterdam: North-Holland, pp. 849–919.

Mountford, Andrew. 1997. "Can a Brain Drain Be Good for Growth in the Source Economy?" *Journal of Development Economics* 53(2): 287–303.

Rogerson, Richard, Robert Shimer, and Randall Wright. 2005. "Search-Theoretic Models of the Labor Market." *Journal of Economic Literature* 43(4): 959–988.

Schaafsma, Joseph, and Arthur Sweetman. 2001. "Immigrant Earnings: Age at Immigration Matters." *Canadian Journal of Economics* 34(4): 1066–1099.

Srivastava, Ravi, and S.K. Sasikumar. 2003. "An Overview of Migration in

India, Its Impacts and Key Issues." Paper presented at the Regional Conference on Migration, Development, and Pro-Poor Policy Choices in Asia, held in Dhaka, Bangladesh, June 22–24.

Stark, Oded. 2004. "Rethinking the Brain Drain." *World Development* 32(1): 15–22.

Stark, Oded, Christian Helmenstein, and Alexia Prskawetz. 1997. "A Brain Gain with a Brain Drain." *Economics Letters* 55(2): 227–234.

———. 1998. "Human Capital Depletion, Human Capital Formation, and Migration: A Blessing or a 'Curse'?" *Economics Letters* 60(3): 363–367.

Stark, Oded, and Yong Wang. 2002. "Inducing Human Capital Formation: Migration as a Substitute for Subsidies." *Journal of Public Economics* 86(1): 29–46.

Todaro, Michael P. 1969. "A Model for Labor Migration and Urban Unemployment in Less Developed Countries." *American Economic Review* 59(1): 138–148.

Tullao, Tereso S., Jr. 1982. "Brain Drain, Education and Unemployment." *Philippines Budget Management* March–June(1982): 41–45.

Immigrants and Their International Money Flows

Susan Pozo
Editor

2007

W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research
Kalamazoo, Michigan